

WELCOME TO DEPARTMENT OF


HOMOEOPATHIC REPERTORY

MOTIWALA HOMOEOPATHIC MEDICAL COLLEGE AND P.G. INSTITUTE

NASHIK CITY

A CONCISE REPERTORY OF HOMOEOPATHIC MEDICINE

BY DR S. R PATHAK



By: Prof (DR). SUHAIL SHEIKH

PHATAK'S LIFE HISTORY

▣ DR. S. R. PHATAK. BORN : 16TH SEPTEMBER 1896. GRADUATED FROM GRANT MEDICAL COLLEGE IN 1924. (M.B.B.S). NOT SATISFIED WITH ALLOPATHY, HE PRACTISED AYURVEDA FOR SOME TIME. LATTER HE GOT INFLUENCED BY THE WRITINGS OF SIR WILLIAM OSLER. WHATEVER THE REASONS, HE SWITCHED OVER TO PURE HOMOEOPATHY IN 1932.

▣ **IMPORTANT ADVICE BY DR. S.R. PHATAK**

▣ **PRESCRIBING IN HOMOEOPATHY IS BOTH SCIENCE AND ART**

▣ **THREE PREREQUISITES FOR GOOD PRESCRIPTION.**

▣ .

➤ **1) GOOD CASE-TAKING,**

➤ **2) SOUND KNOWLEDGE OF MATERIA**

▣ **MEDICA AND**

➤ **3) SKILFUL USE OF THE REFERENCE**

▣ **BOOKS**

INTRODUCTION

- IT IS PURELY ALPHABETICAL REPERTORY.
- IT IS NOT BASED ON ANY PHILOSOPHY
- IT WAS PUBLISHED IN SEPTEMBER 1963.
- NO DRUG IS ENLISTED AGAINST ANY RUBRIC UNLESS DR . PHATAK HAVING EXPERIENCE IN CURING PT
- PHATAK'S REP.IS HANDY TO USE .

SOURCES

- ▣ 1) SYNOPTIC KEY
- ▣ 2) KENTS EPERTORY
- ▣ 3) BTPB
- ▣ 4) CLARKES DICTIONARY
- ▣ 5) HIS CLINICAL OBSERVATION

HOW DR. PHATAK CONVERT TO HOMEOPATHY

- ▣ DR PHATAK WAS A MBBS PHYSICIAN . ONE OF HIS PT. WAS SUFFERING FROM COLD SPOTS ON BACK . MUCH T/T WAS GIVEN WITHOUT ANY RESULT . AT THAT TIME HE CAME ACROSS A DRUG AMM. MUR. IN ONE HOM JOURNAL .TO HIS SURPRICE THIS REMEDY WORKED EXELLENTELY AND PT. WAS CURED .
- ▣ WITH THIS INCIDENT DR. PHATAK TAKING INTEREST IN HOMEOPATHY. AMONG DIFF .BOOKS AND CONCEPT HE WAS HIGHLY INFLUENCED BY DR. BOGER'S CONCEPT AND THEN AFTER HE STARTED WORKING IN THIS FIELD .

STORY OF THIS BOOK

- ▣ THE SKELETAL COPY OF THIS REPERTORY WAS MADE BY DR PATHAK IN MARATHI LANGUAGE AND IT WAS FOR HIS PERSONAL REFERANCE . HIS FRIEND MR. S. L. KAPADI, WHO WAS IMPRESSED BY THIS WORK TOOK UP THE WORK TO PUBLISH THIS REPERTORY.HE EDITED THE BOOK FROM HIS ROUGH DRAFT AND NOTES.HE ASKED THE AUTHOR TO CHECK AND RECHECKED IT..

- ▣ DR. HOMAI A. MERCHANT WHO USED TO COME TO THE AUTHOR FOR GUIDANCE IN THE STUDY OF HOMOEOPATHY ALSO HELPED IN TYPING THE WHOLE WORK.
- ▣ THE FIRST EDITION WAS PUBLISHED IN THE YEAR 1963.
- ▣ AFTER THE PUBLICATIO OF 1ST EDITION HE COMPILED A MATERIA MEDICA BOOK FOR WHICH HE REFERRED DIFFERENT MATERIA MEDICA BOOKS MAINLY BOGER, BOERICKE , CLARK, HERING AND KENT.

- ▣ WHILE WRITING MATERI MEDICA HE FOUND MANY CLINICAL AND PATHOLOGICAL SYMPTOMS WHICH HE ADDED IN HIS SECOND EDITION WHICH WAS PUBLISHED IN THE YEAR (OCT_ 1977) WITH THE HELP OF Dr P. SANKARAN .
- ▣ 3RD EDITION OF THIS BOOK WAS PUBLISHED IN THE YEAR 2000 AND IT WAS EDITED BY HIS SON Dr D. S. PHATAK. HERE ALL ADDITIONS WERE MARKED BY SIGN +
- ▣ EG : Abdomen pain + coffee amel.

▣ SELECTED REMEDIES ARE TAKEN FROM STRONG AUTHORITIES LIKE BOGER, KENT ,CLARK.

▣ **GRADING ARE DONE LIKE KENT :-**

■ **CAPITAL - 3 MARK**

■ ***ITALIC* - 2 MARK**

■ ***ROMAN* - 1MARK**

PLAN AND CONSTRUCTION

- ▣ EACH PAGE OF THIS REPERTORY IS DIVIDED IN TO 2 COLUMN .
- ▣ ALL RUBRICS ARE ARRANGED IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER.
- ▣ FIRST RUBRIC IS ABDOMEN AND LAST IS ZYGOMAE.
- ▣ ALL THE PHYSIOLOGICAL, PATHOLOGICAL , MENTAL, GENERAL AND PARTICULAR SYMPTOMS ARE ARRANGED IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER.

- ▣ CROSS REFERANCES ARE GIVEN WHERE EVER POSSIBLE.
- ▣ Eg: Abdomen inactive , see paralysis

- ▣ SPECIAL FEATURE :

- IN GENERAL MODALITIES, THE WORDS
- ▣ AGG. AND AMEL. ARE PRINTED IN
- ▣ CAPITAL
- ▣

- FOR THE MODALITIES UNDER PARTICULAR RUBRIC THESE WORDS ARE PRINTED IN SMALL ALPHABETS agg AND amel

EDITIONS

- ▣ 1ST – 1963
 - ▣ 2nd – 1977
 - ▣ 3rd – 2000 (Also called revised and enlarged edition)
- THE NUMBER OF MAIN RUBRICS ARE 1971
AND NUMBER OF MEDICINES ARE
393

- **THE AUTHOR HAS GATHERED ALL USEFUL MODALITIES FROM DIFFERENT STANDARD REPERTORIES AND HAS INCLUDED THOSE IN THIS BOOK.**

- **CAUSATIONS WILL BE FOUND UNDER AGG'S EITHER GENERAL OR PARTICULAR. (agg)**

- ▣ **AS DR. PHATAK WAS FOLLOWER OF DR. BOGER . SO THE ARRANGEMENT OF RUBRICS, NO. OF RUBRICS, NO. OF MEDICINES IN THIS REPERTORY ARE FROM BSK. SOME RUBRIC FROM KENT REPERTORY ARE TAKEN SPECIALY FROM EXTRIMITY CHAPTER.**

ADVANTAGES

- **CONCISE AND HANDY ONE .**
- **USEFUL AS REFFERENCE BOOK .**
- **TO REDUSE BURDEN OF PRISCRIBER IN EVERY CASE .**
- **ONLY THOSE REMEDIES ARE TAKEN WHICH ARE PROVED CLINICALLY AND FROM EXPERIENCES .**
- **ALPHABETIC ARRANGEMENT HELPS IN TRACING THE RUBRIC AND CAPITAL ARRANGEMENT HELPS IN TRACING GENERAL MODALITIES .**

DISADVANTAGES

- NUMBER OF REMEDIES ARE VERY LESS .
- BEING A COCISE REPERTORY IT CAN NOT ELIMINATE THE PURPOSE OF GENERAL REP. LIKE KENT AND BOGER .

THANK YOU